

Some Other forms of C.L.T.:- C.L.T. can also be stated

as (1) If  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. with mean  $\mu$ , variance  $\sigma^2$  and  $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$ , then for  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left[ a \leq \frac{S_n - n\mu}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \leq b \right] = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a) \\ = \int_a^b \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} dx$$

$$(2) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left[ a \leq \frac{S_n - E(S_n)}{\sqrt{V(S_n)}} \leq b \right] = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a)$$

$$\text{or } (3) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left[ a \leq \frac{\bar{X}_n - E(\bar{X}_n)}{\sqrt{V(\bar{X}_n)}} \leq b \right] = \Phi(b) - \Phi(a)$$

Relation Between CLT & WLLN:-

(1) Both CLT & WLLN hold for a sequence  $\{X_n\}$  of i.i.d. random variables with finite mean  $\mu$ , variance  $\sigma^2$

(2) For sequence  $\{X_n\}$  of independent and uniformly bounded r.v.'s, WLLN holds and CLT holds in this case provided  $B_n = \text{Var}(X_1 + \dots + X_n) = \sigma_1^2 + \dots + \sigma_n^2 \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(3) For the sequence  $\{X_n\}$  of independent r.v.'s, CLT may hold but WLLN may not hold.

(4) For i.i.d. random variables, CLT is stronger than WLLN. However, WLLN does not require the existence of variance.

Ex.:- Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. Poisson variate with parameter  $\lambda$ . Use CLT to estimate  $P[120 \leq S_n \leq 160]$  where  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ ;  $\lambda = 2$ ,  $n = 75$ .

Sol<sup>n</sup>: - Given  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. random variables which follows Poisson dist<sup>n</sup> with parameter  $\lambda$ , so we have

$$E(X_i) = \lambda, \quad V(X_i) = \lambda \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n)$$

$$\text{and } E(S_n) = E(X_1 + \dots + X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i) = n \cdot \lambda$$

$$\text{and } V(S_n) = V(X_1 + \dots + X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n V(X_i) = n \lambda$$

Hence by Lindeberg-Levy theorem (for large  $n$ ),

$S_n$  follows asymptotically normal with mean  $= \mu = n \lambda$

$$= 75 \times 2$$

$$= 150$$

and variance  $= \sigma^2 = n \lambda = 75 \times 2 = 150$ .

$$\therefore P(120 \leq S_n \leq 160) = P\left[\frac{120-150}{\sqrt{150}} \leq Z \leq \frac{160-150}{\sqrt{150}}\right]$$

(Converting  $S_n$  to  $Z$  by  $\frac{S_n - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2}}$ )

$$= P\left[\frac{-30}{12.25} \leq Z \leq \frac{10}{12.25}\right]$$

$$= P[-2.45 \leq Z \leq 0.82] \rightarrow P(a \leq Z \leq b)$$

$$= \Phi(0.82) - \Phi(-2.45) \rightarrow \Phi(b) - \Phi(a)$$

$$= \Phi(0.82) - [1 - \Phi(2.45)]$$

$$= [0.5 + 0.2939] - 1 + [0.5 + 0.4929] \quad \left(\text{using Normal Prob's table}\right)$$

$$= 0.7939 - 1 + 0.9929$$

$$= 0.7868 //$$